Lovecraft amplify these and similar comments in the final section of the book, to advocate academic settings as those where stakeholders can best share ideas in a neutral setting, to form “Communities of Practice” (Section 9:685–688). That proposition alone should earn this book shelf space in many institutional libraries.
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Harold Innis (1894–1952), an economic historian at the University of Toronto whose interests included staple theory and the role of communications in history, must be one of Canada’s most studied academics. This volume, the result of a conference held at Concordia in 2007, follows the tradition of previous Innis scholarship by presenting a strong collection of papers on one aspect of his career. In 1924, as a junior academic, he was able to wrangle some funds from the University of Toronto to head north to the Mackenzie basin on his first trip of many to different parts of Canada’s vast North. He would also venture to the Soviet Union at the end of the war. In between, he became the Canadian Historical Review’s northern reviewer, writing some 11 review essays on the North. What he discovered when he went north was obviously important to him, and for the rest of his career, in talks, reviews, and lobbying to both government and university, Innis became an advocate for the North, stressing the importance of all things northern to the future of Canada. Arguing that this aspect of Innis’s career has not received much attention from scholars, editor William Buxton seeks to redress this failure with the publication of this collection of some 15 articles and over 400 pages of what he terms “micro-narratives” (p. 6).

Buxton and the others in this work have achieved their goal. The essays allow for an extensive examination of Innis by scholars interested in the North. Cumulatively they demonstrate both the importance of the North to him and his particular perspective on the region as a particular and distinct environment and as part of the greater whole of Canada’s evolution as a nation-state. Indeed, as several articles demonstrate, the northern experience for Innis was central to theories that became hallmarks of his work, including his interpretations of the relation between transportation and development, his (at that time) embryonic work on technology and communication, and his belief that Canada was a natural product of geography. The North may also have been the means, in Innis’s mind, that would allow Canada some room to discover its own identity. As Matthew Evenen concluded, “Innis’s nationalism thus evolved as a northern nationalism, one that would offset the degrading influence of Britain and the United States by finding Canada’s meaning in its own mythic north” (p. 75).

Perhaps most interesting, however, is the complex view of economic volatility (what Innis called “cyclonics”) that came out of Innis’s northern experience. Innis was an enthusiast of northern development and saw the region’s future growth as both inevitable and important to Canada. Indeed, as Jim Morochuk notes, Innis’s writings had an edge of “northern boosterism” (p. 150). At the same time, Innis recognized that development also brought destruction. Old ways of life, especially Aboriginal economies and cultures, were threatened by the opening of the North. In typically elliptical fashion he wrote “Save Eskimo” at one point in his field notes (p. 163).

Innis’s support of development while recognizing its costs to local communities creates some debate within this volume. Was he a Southerner viewing the North through a filter that undervalued local culture and the tremendous cost of development to Aboriginals? Or was he a realist, sympathetic to local concerns, and an advocate for the North? Was he the independent scholar assessing as best he could the likely future of the North, or was he so closely
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linked to government that his reputation for detachment must be qualified at best? All these interpretations can be found among the contributions to this volume. This is probably because both views are valid. Innis was sympathetic to the costs of development but he was not, as Jeff Webb notes, “a social historian interested in the lives of rural people” (p. 183). Nor was he a social worker focused on the difficult problems of a region in transition. He was an economist interested in the forces driving the changes. He was also a man of his era. His views of Aboriginals, while often sympathetic, are phrased in terms that are disconcerting to readers with 21st century values. It is ahistorical for us to expect anything else.

Overall, this is a work with plenty of substance. For a collection of essays coming out of a conference, it is an impressively coherent volume, with only a couple of papers that seem tangential. The general level of the articles is very high, and for all the Innis I have read in my misspent years as an intellectual historian, I still feel that I understand him better with the help of this work.

That doesn’t mean everything is perfect. Perhaps inevitably, there are points of repetition as people restate the same arguments or recount the same trips. Also, if I learned from the volume, I also felt frustrated by it occasionally. After reading 400 pages, even enthusiastic Innis scholars can become fatigued.

One reason the work is so long is that the editor has included a considerable number of his own writings: some 160 pages are authored or co-authored by Buxton. Although these articles are the product of excellent research, perhaps he might have omitted or consolidated some of this material in the interest of brevity. Overall, however, this is an exceptionally good work that tells us much about Innis. Anybody interested in Innis, evolving views of the North, or perceptions of Canadian development in his era would find this a work well worth reading.

The core of this book is the observations by Inupiat (from Barrow, Alaska), Inuit (Kangiqutuapik/Clyde River, Baffin Island), and Inughuit (Qaanaaq, Northwest Greenland) of how the sea ice environment in their respective regions has changed over the last several decades. Hereafter, for simplicity’s sake, I will use “Inuit” as the cultural referent. The volume’s contributors, of whom there are 43 (but see Acknowledgements), provide a wealth of information not only about the ice environment and the changes in it that they have experienced, but also how siku (sea ice) is a key component in the ecologies of their respective communities. Their various narratives inform the reader about the multidimensionality of the ice as a platform for travel and hunting and, when read closely, about how this environment influences the socio-cultural life of Inuit.

The Meaning of Ice, on first glance, gives the impression that it is more a coffee table book than serious scholarship. Its photographs, drawings, and maps are indeed striking, but all do more than simply please the eye. For instance, the maps that detail the changes that have occurred in the extent and nature of the sea ice around these communities (for instance, the disappearance of sepput (polynya) important for hunting) are excellent in both their technical quality and their informational detail. Similarly excellent are the drawings that illustrate traditional practices. These include the proper division of a narwhal at Qaanaaq (of particular interest to me) and patterns for the manufacture of sealskin kamit (boots) and polar bear silapaa (wind pants). Then there is the descriptiveness of Inuktitut about this environment. Perhaps some of this nomenclature may replace some of the less precise English language descriptors, as has been the case with snow terminology in Russian.

But the photos and other illustrations, for all their richness, are supplemental to the Inuit narratives. These tell about not only the historical importance of sea ice to Inuit and the depth of their observational abilities, but also how Inuit are adapting to the changing environmental situations of each locale represented here. The term “expert” is too often loosely applied to persons simply because they have written about a phenomenon or a culture. Here, however, the term is fully appropriate because the writers have not only lived on the sea ice, but also derived their livelihood from it and have thought about it in ways rarely possible for outsiders.

In a field of study—climate change/sea ice/Inuit—that can appear to be, at the least, overcrowded, The Meaning of Ice stands out and is outstanding. The volume’s qualities make it nothing less than a work of ethno-anthropology, an odd term to use here, but the right one. The Inuit who participated in Siku-Inuit-Hila (sea ice-Inuit-sky), in concert with the project’s editors and scientific advisors, have


It is very hard not to be enthusiastic about The Meaning of Ice. In many ways, it is an outstanding example of what can result when there is close cooperation between Inuit and scientists, not to mention careful and sensitive editors. This
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