ABSTRACT. The Yukon River is the fourth largest river in North America, yet the ecology of its fishes has not been well described. During the spring and summer of 2002–04, we sampled the downstream migrations of fishes in the Yukon River mainstem near the Canada-U.S. border, using a rotary auger trap. Age-0 juvenile chinook salmon, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha, were the most common fish in the catch, and they peaked in abundance in mid-June. Smaller numbers of age-1 chinook salmon and age-0 chum salmon, O. keta, were caught earlier in the season. Over 80% of the remaining catch consisted of young-of-the-year Coregoninae (whitefish), presumably moving from natal areas to summer rearing habitats. Few adult whitefish were captured, probably because our sampling terminated before fall spawning migrations began. Both juveniles and adults were captured for six other winter or spring spawning species that we encountered. Our results indicate that the Yukon River mainstem is used extensively as a migration corridor. This reach of the mainstem has very high suspended sediment levels in summer; its significance as rearing habitat remains unknown. Further studies are required to delineate the extent of migrations and the population structure for the non-anadromous species.
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INTRODUCTION

The life history of fishes in large rivers is often poorly understood. Galat and Zweimüller (2001:275) noted that the “lack of fundamental life-history information on most large-river fishes is perhaps the most serious impediment to understanding and managing assemblages of species that share common attributes.” This is especially the case for the upper Yukon River, located in northwestern North America. Apart from the assessment of species caught in
fisheries, fish biologists have devoted relatively little attention to the Yukon River, probably because of its remote location and the low levels of human disturbance within its catchment.

The Yukon River is the fourth largest drainage in North America, with a basin area of over 800 000 km². From its origins in northern British Columbia, it flows some 3200 km in a northwesterly arc through the Yukon Territory and Alaska to its mouth in the eastern Bering Sea (Fig. 1). The headwaters of the Yukon River consist of a series of large lakes, and consequently water clarity in the mainstem downstream of these lakes is relatively high. Farther downstream, however, glacial tributaries (most notably the White River) contribute considerable sediment to the mainstem, causing it to be very turbid during most of the open-water season (Brabets et al., 2000). While the channel is confined through much of its length, there is an extensive area of wetlands and floodplain channels called the Yukon Flats in central Alaska (Fig. 1). Ultimately the Yukon River discharges into the Bering Sea in a vast area of distributaries and wetlands in western Alaska.

At least 19 fish species occur in the upper Yukon River (defined as upstream of the western Yukon-Alaska border; Fig. 1) and its tributaries (Lindsay and McPhail, 1986). The most important, from a fisheries perspective, are the anadromous populations of chinook (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) and chum (O. keta) salmon. These species are caught in commercial, subsistence, and aboriginal fisheries, mainly in Alaska. The main spawning areas for chum salmon are in the Yukon River and the White River and its tributaries. Spawner abundances have fluctuated between 22000 and 437 000 fish since 1980 (JTC, 2006). Chinook salmon spawn in the Yukon River and most of its major tributaries and in a number of smaller streams. They are exclusively stream-type fish, with juveniles spending one or more years rearing in streams, rivers, or lakes before migrating to the ocean. Most leave the Yukon River as age-1 smolts and return after spending 3 – 5 years at sea (Beacham et al., 1989). Spawner abundance in the upper basin has been estimated since 1982 and has ranged from 26 000 to 81 000 fish (P. Milligan, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Whitehorse, Yukon, pers. comm. 2008).

Arctic grayling (Thymallus arcticus) is a popular sports fish in the upper Yukon basin, but little is known about its total abundance, spawning areas, or population structure. In addition, a variety of Coregoninae (whitefish) species can be locally important for sport and subsistence user groups (McPhail and Lindsey, 1970; Brown et al., 2007).

The focus of this study was to evaluate the downstream migrations of juvenile salmonids and other fishes in the upper Yukon River. Other than work on adult salmon (e.g., JTC, 2006), there has been only one study of fish migrations on the Yukon River, and it was qualitative in nature (Walker, 1976). This basic life history information is needed to help understand the factors that affect or limit productivity and potential harvest, to assess the impacts of human activities in the watershed on fish populations, and to understand the role of ongoing climate change on fisheries of northern North America.

STUDY AREA AND METHODS

Our sampling site was on the Yukon River 1 km upstream of Dawson City, Yukon, and 145 km upstream from the Canada-U.S. border (Fig. 1), which is approximately one-third of the distance between the headwaters and the mouth. The site is located more than 200 km downstream from the nearest significant chum and chinook salmon spawning areas in the basin.

At Dawson City, the Yukon River is ca. 500 m wide. Seasonal discharge patterns there are well approximated by the U.S. Geological Survey monitoring station (no. 15356000) located 160 km downstream at Eagle, Alaska. Judging by the difference in drainage area upstream of Dawson City compared to that upstream of Eagle, we conclude that the Eagle station likely overestimates discharge at Dawson City by less than 10%. The average hydrologic regime is characterized by low flows from November to early May, increasing flow to mid-June, and a subsequent decline to winter baseflows (Brabets et al., 2000). The discharge regime results from a combination of lake discharge, snow and glacial melt, and summer rains and can vary considerably from one year to the next. The mean annual discharge (1950–2005) at Eagle is 2380 m³/s, with average monthly extremes of 491 m³/s (March) and 6318 m³/s (June; USGS, 2007).

Suspended sediment concentrations at Eagle are very low (1 – 2 mg/L) in winter months, but they increase in the open-water season to averages of 450 – 650 mg/L in summer. Spot values in excess of 1500 mg/L have been recorded (Brabets et al., 2000). Most of the sediment load in our study area is from the White River, which drains glaciers of the St. Elias ranges in the south-western part of the basin (Brabets et al., 2000) and enters the Yukon River 120 km upstream of Dawson City (Fig. 1).

We used a 2.4 m diameter floating rotary-screw trap (as described by Thedinga et al., 1994) to sample fish migrating downstream. We positioned the trap near the east bank of the river, using a pole-and-cable system that kept the centre line of the trap 4 – 5 m from the water’s edge. The water depth at the trap location was about 3 m, and the surface water velocity averaged 1.0 m/s. A projecting rock outcrop located 200 m upstream of the site deflected the current and most of the large debris away from the trap.

The trap was operated during the spring and summer of 2002 – 04, although the start and duration of operations varied from year to year. The trap was usually fished continuously for five days and four nights each week, from Monday through Friday. Some exceptions occurred during periods of excessively high debris in the river, but these were relatively few.

The trap was checked 3 – 4 times daily, and each time the catch was removed from the livebox and the trap was
cleaned of debris with a brush or water pump. Fish were kept in aerated buckets for processing on board the attendant boat. They were anaesthetized in small groups with clove oil, identified, measured (mm) for fork or total length (for species without forked caudal fins), and weighed to the nearest 0.1 g. After recovery, fish were released back into the river. River water temperature at the sampling site was measured hourly with a data logger.

The identification of juvenile Coregoninae in the field is especially challenging, and although we attempted to identify each fish to species, our identifications have not been confirmed by morphological or genetic analysis. In addition, taxonomic uncertainty exists regarding the lake/humpback whitefish complex (*Coregonus clupeaformis, C. pidschian, and C. nelsonii*), so following the likely distributions (McPhail and Lindsay, 1970), we used *C. clupeaformis* in this report. For the purposes of summarizing catch and size information, we grouped the whitefish as: *Stenodus* (inconnu, *S. leucichthys*), *Coregonus* (*C. clupeaformis, C. nasus, C. sardinella*), and *Prosopium* (*P. cylindraceum*). Bering cisco (*C. laurettae*) and pygmy whitefish (*P. coulteri*) are present in the Yukon basin but have not been confirmed in our study area, and it is unknown whether they were present in our catches.

Catch rates were calculated as the number of fish per hour of trap operation, averaged by week. The age of juvenile chinook salmon (as either age-0 or age-1 or older migrants) was inferred by examining length frequency data weekly or monthly and assigning a length cutoff for each age class by time period. The lengths of the other species were used to classify fish as either young-of-the-year, juveniles, or adults based on observations or size-age relations developed for other populations in the Yukon, Alaska, and Russia (Berg, 1948; McPhail and Lindsay, 1970; Alt, 1973a, b, 1979; Steigenberger and Elson, 1977; Shestakov, 2001).

**RESULTS**

Yukon River discharge at Eagle, Alaska, was below average in 2002 and 2003 and above average in 2004,
when the peak discharge was 50% greater than in the first two study years (Fig. 2). River water temperatures increased through May and June, and peaked in late June 2004, and in late July in the other years (Fig. 2). The maximum hourly temperatures (°C) recorded by year were 18.3 for 2002, 18.5 for 2003, and 18.7 for 2004.

Salmon

Catches of age-0 chinook salmon were low in May, but increased to a peak in late June in all years (Fig. 3). In 2003, when the program continued to the end of August, there were small catches during each week throughout July and August. The total catches in 2002, 2003, and 2004 were 1515, 1060, and 5487 fish, respectively. The corresponding parent escapements for these broods were 52564, 42 359, and 80594 fish.

Catches of age-1 and older juvenile chinook salmon were highest at the beginning of the sampling program, and the migration was largely completed by late June (Fig. 3). Far fewer yearlings were caught than age-0 salmon; the total catches by year were 68, 109, and 226 individuals.

The downstream migration of age-0 chum salmon appeared to peak earlier than that of age-0 chinook salmon; it was already underway when our trap was first installed in late May (Fig. 3). Catches declined through June, and the migration was complete by early July. The annual catches of chum salmon fry were 159, 268, and 599 for the three years of sampling. The corresponding parent escapements were 33 851 fish for 2002, 98 695 for 2003, and 142 683 for 2004 (JTC, 2006).

Size of age-0 and age-1 chinook salmon increased steadily during the sampling season, but there were few consistent differences among years in the size at a given date (Fig. 4). For May and June, the average rate of increase in length was 0.68 mm/day for age-0 fish and 0.36 mm/day for age-1 juveniles. The rate of increase in age-0 length declined in July and August to an average of 0.36 mm/day. Too few age-1 juveniles were caught during this period for a meaningful estimate. At the peak of migration, on average, age-0 chinook salmon were 52 mm in length and weighed 1.5 g, while age-1 chinook salmon were 84 mm long and weighed 6.1 g.

The mean length of chum salmon declined over the duration of the migration, and those caught in July were on average 3 mm shorter than those caught in May (Fig. 4). At the peak of migration, the average size was 38.8 mm and 0.45 g.

Arctic Grayling

To simplify the presentation, the catches of Arctic grayling were summed across years. Three different size classes were captured. Before June 1, adults (> 250 mm) were common, along with juveniles 60–100 mm in length (suspected age-1; Fig. 5). The juveniles continued to be caught in June and early July, but adult catches nearly
ceased during this time period. Later in July a large mode of smaller juveniles that were 20–60 mm in length formed the bulk of the catch; these were likely age-0 fish resulting from spawning that occurred earlier in the spring. Larger grayling were uncommon after mid-July (Fig. 5).

**Coregoninae**

Members of the fall-spawning Coregoninae subfamily were very common in our catches (Table 1). A few adult whitefish were captured, but the catch was dominated by age-0 (<100 mm length) juveniles (Fig. 6). The modal length of juvenile inconnu was greater than that of the other species groups; however, this species is noted for fast growth relative to other whitefish (Steigenberger and Elson, 1977). There was no pronounced seasonal peak in the timing of the downstream migration of juvenile whitefish (Fig. 7), as the catch rates varied among species groups, and also among years.

**Other Species**

We combined the three years of data to provide a more concise account of the catches of the other six species we caught. These species are spring spawners, with the exception of burbot *Lota lota*, which spawns in late winter. Both juvenile and adults were caught (Fig. 8). Arctic lamprey *Lampetra camtschatica*, longnose suckers *Catostomus catostomus*, and lake chub *Couesius plumbeus* were the most common in the catches (Table 1). Lamprey catches tended to peak early in the sampling period, but there was no consistent temporal pattern for the other five species.

**DISCUSSION**

Although the segment of the Yukon River that we sampled might be viewed as a relatively inhospitable environment for fishes during the open-water season because of its high levels of suspended sediment, our results show that it remains important migratory habitat for many species. Sampling habitats along the margins of...
the Yukon River near Dawson generally yield few fish, except at the confluences of clearwater tributaries (Bradford et al., unpubl. data). A similar pattern was observed in the turbid Taku (Murphy et al., 1989) and Tanana (Durst, 2001) rivers in Alaska. In those studies, summer fish densities were higher in less turbid off-channel habitats than in the mainstem. Our results appear consistent with the flood-pulse concept of Junk et al. (1989), who proposed that large river mainstems were primarily migration corridors that connected more productive off-channel areas and tributaries located within the floodplain. However, a structured sampling program has not yet been conducted on the turbid portions of the Yukon River mainstem, and its significance as important habitat for some species or life stages cannot be ruled out.

Salmon

Chinook salmon show considerable variation in their freshwater life history both within and across populations (Healey, 1991). Juveniles emerge from spawning gravels in late May (Walker, 1976), and although newly emerged fry can travel considerable distances after emergence (Bradford and Taylor, 1997), we caught relatively few fish that were under 40 mm in length (the size at emergence) in our trap. The migrants we caught were 45–60 mm in length and had likely reared for four to six weeks at upstream locations before passing the trap site. Bradford et al. (2001) noted that age-0 chinook salmon from the Yukon River mainstem colonized a non-natal stream in the upper Yukon basin in late June. Thus it appears that, after an initial period of rearing that presumably occurs closer to their natal areas, there is a large-scale downstream redistribution of juveniles in the Yukon River in June. The timing of the migration of age-1+ juveniles is consistent with results from other rivers (Healey, 1991), although the distance (> 2000 km) that these fish travel before reaching the ocean likely entails a midsummer ocean entry.

The size and timing of juvenile chinook salmon in our catches suggest that they are migrating directly from spawning areas towards the ocean, like the chum salmon in coastal streams, where the migration distances are much shorter (Salo, 1991). The migration appeared to be well underway when our sampling program started in late May, indicating the peak may have occurred a few weeks earlier. This is slightly later than the timing of fry migration in the Taku River (Meehan and Siniff, 1962) and in the Chena River, a tributary of the Yukon River in central Alaska (Peterson, 1997). Martin et al. (1986) also found that chinook salmon were most common in the Yukon River Delta in June and early July, and the modal size was also 30–40 mm. Although juveniles caught in the lower Yukon River could be from a large number of populations, the size and timing of those catches are consistent with the direct migration strategy that we observed for the upper river.

We found a consistent, and somewhat unusual, pattern of a decrease in the mean size of chinook salmon fry during the course of the migration. In the Fraser River, Beacham and Starr (1982) noted an increase in size during the migration that they attributed to freshwater rearing and growth for those fish migrating after the main peak. The decrease we observed may have been due to a change in the composition of the run over the season and population-specific differences in fry size. Or, fish migrating later in the season may have been poorer in quality, in terms of their timing and size at emergence and their rate of downstream migration in the river.

Index sampling of juvenile salmonids can be used to provide information for stock assessment purposes (Todd, 1966; Niemelä et al., 2005). In the case of chum salmon, we did find a parallel trend on both parent spawner abundance and total fry catch over the three years that suggests some coherence between the two measures of abundance. However, the relatively small number of fry captured relative to the size of the spawning populations highlights that our trap was capturing only a very small fraction of the total migration, which will likely limit its utility for indexing adult abundance.

For chinook salmon, utility of indexing downstream migrations of juveniles is less clear because their life history is more complex, and both within and among populations
there can be individuals that migrate great distances in freshwater, and others that remain close to their natal areas (Bradford and Taylor, 1997). Thus the number of age-0 and age-1 migrants will be a function of parent abundance, variation in survival, and variation in the migratory behaviour both within and between populations. Our observation that both the total juvenile catch and the Yukon River discharge were much greater in 2004 than in the other two years raises the possibility that higher flows may affect migratory propensity. So few age-1 juveniles were caught that the catches of this life stage are unlikely to be useful for forecasting future adult abundances.

Arctic Grayling

For arctic grayling, Northcote (1995:156) notes that populations “undergo a complex cycle of migratory behaviour,” and our trapping results provide an indication of this for the upper Yukon River. Our early-season catches were characterized by large numbers of adult fish, likely moving downstream from spawning areas. Grayling spawn soon after the ice melts in May, and adults leave tributary spawning areas and travel to summer feeding areas soon after reproduction (Northcote, 1995). The May to mid-July period was characterized by large catches of age-1 and older juveniles moving downstream, presumably from overwintering to summer feeding areas. The absence of age-1 or older grayling in catches after early July indicates the end of these migrations. The last migration we sampled was that of age-0 fish presumed to be moving from spawning streams to rearing areas. Arctic grayling fry have also been observed to leave spawning streams in late June and early July in the Mackenzie River basin (Jessop et al., 1974; Butcher et al., 1981). The distance these juveniles travel and the location of their summer rearing areas are unknown.

Coregoninae

The catch of the fall-spawning whitefish species was dominated by age-0 and lesser numbers of age-1 juveniles. Most adult whitefish move upstream to spawning areas in late summer and fall, and migrate downstream to overwintering areas or the ocean after spawning in the late
The variety of life stages of the winter- and spring-spawning species migrating downstream in the Yukon River in early summer reflects both the significance of the mainstem as a migration corridor and the diversity of life histories within the Yukon River fish communities.

Arctic lampreys have occasionally been sighted in the upper Yukon basin, but little is known of their ecology. Anadromous adults migrate upstream from the ocean in the fall and overwinter in freshwater before spawning in tributary streams in the spring. Adults die after spawning. Our trap captured a significant number of large adult lampreys, perhaps because of a downstream movement of spawned-out anadromous fish similar to that observed in the John Day River in Oregon for *L. tridentate* (Robinson and Bayer, 2005). Anadromous adult lampreys were captured only between 9 and 30 June, a timing similar to that of lampreys that Heard (1966) captured in the Naknek River, Alaska. The juveniles that we captured appeared to be a mixture of smaller, younger fish that were likely moving among habitats, and larger (> 100 mm) ammocetes that may have been migrating to the ocean. Non-anadromous forms also occur in this species (Heard, 1966; McPhail and Lindsey, 1970), but their presence in the upper basin is unknown.

We caught significant numbers of adult-sized fish of the other spring-spawning species. The capture of these adults is consistent with previous observations that these species can tolerate high turbidity levels and have been observed to make use of mainstem river habitats during the summer months. Bresser et al. (1988) used telemetry information to infer that burbot used the mainstem Tanana River, a large glacial tributary of the Yukon River. It is possible that our captures in the Yukon mainstem may represent localized movements to specific feeding areas, such as tributary creek mouths. Longnose sucker, lake chub, and to a lesser extent slimy sculpin, are relatively common in shoreline sampling of mainstem habitats in the less turbid reaches of the upper Yukon River (Walker, 1976) and in the Porcupine and Tanana rivers, both large tributaries of the Yukon (Steigenberger and Elson, 1977; Durst, 2001). Our catches of juveniles and adults of these species may also be the result of local movements rather than migrations.

Age-0 fish were also common in our catches of the spring spawning species. A downstream migration of age-0 longnose suckers from tributary spawning streams in June and July was observed in the Mackenzie River basin (Jessop et al., 1974), and similar behaviours are likely for other spring spawning species as they redistribute from spawning to summer rearing areas.

In conclusion, our results provide a small piece of the information required for a fuller understanding of the life history and habitat use of fishes of the Yukon River and its tributaries. In addition to our migration study, a systematic sampling program of a variety of habitats in both the main channel and the floodplain is required to evaluate the role of the turbid mainstem as a fish-producing region. Habitat use studies and a combination of tagging and telemetry studies, as well as the use of geochemical and genetic markers, may be required to fully understand the scale of migrations and population structure for these species. Although many of the species we sampled are found throughout the basin, individual populations may have fidelity to specific habitats at various points in their life cycle and therefore may be affected by habitat impacts in those areas. The vast scale of the landscape and the logistical difficulties of working in this region will continue to present considerable challenges to those attempting to develop a fuller understanding of the fish fauna of the Yukon River.
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